

Benchmarking the Zillow Transaction and Assessment Dataset

February, 2023

Max Zhang and Peter Amerkhanian

Data provided by Zillow through the Zillow Transaction and Assessment Dataset (ZTRAX). More information on accessing the data can be found at <u>http://www.zillow.com/ztrax</u>. The results and opinions are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the position of Zillow Group.

ZTRAX

The Zillow Transaction and Assessment Dataset (ZTRAX) is a comprehensive, national real estate database made available free of charge to U.S. academic, nonprofit and government researchers.¹ ZTRAX is largely made up of administrative data collected from public agencies that assess real estate. Given the scale of the ZTRAX data and variance in record keeping practices across localities, researchers must exercise care in working with and creating analysis that involve the data. In order to verify the accuracy of the data that we extract from ZTRAX, we draw heavily on work previously completed by the Places Lab at Boston University that documents missingness and error within ZTRAX (Nolte et al., 2021). We also create a series of benchmarks that compare statistics obtained from ZTRAX against various public data sets that allow for examining equivalent geographic subsets of housing data.

The California State Board of Equalization

Our principal data source for benchmarking ZTRAX comes from the California State Board of Equalization (BOE), which maintains a variety of public datasets with property tax statistics disaggregated by county. By aggregating ZTRAX up to the county level, we can directly compare its data with that of the BOE. Table 1 shows the degree to which ZTRAX and the BOE data align when aggregated at the state level, with all measures showing less than a 5% error.

Data Set	Parcel Count	Non-missing Parcels	Non-missing Total Assessed Value	Avg. Assessed Value	Total Taxes Paid	Avg. Tax Rate
ZTRAX	12,814,271	12,209,357	\$5.893tn	\$482,685	\$68.074bn	1.155%
BOE	unknown	11,775,114	\$5.656tn	\$480,378	\$66.490bn	1.151%
ZTRAX / BOE	N/A	1.037	1.042	1.005	1.024	1.004

Table 1: State-Level Alignment between ZTRAX and BOE

Source: ZTRAX - ZTA dataset, 2017 and California Board of Equalization public data

¹ Data provided by Zillow through the Zillow Transaction and Assessment Dataset (ZTRAX). More information on accessing the data can be found at <u>http://www.zillow.com/ztrax</u>. The results and opinions are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the position of Zillow Group.

Parcels, Assessed Value, and Taxation

The following table details the county-by-county alignment of records between ZTRAX and the BOE. Each figure expresses a ratio, $\frac{ZTRAX}{BOE}$, meaning that the closer the figure is to 1.0, the more aligned ZTRAX and BOE data are for that statistic in that county. For example, in the table below, we can see that for Alameda County, the total number of parcels (non-missing) in ZTRAX is 98.8% of the parcel count expressed in the BOE data, while for total taxes paid, the amount in ZTRAX is 110% of the total amount of taxes paid in Alameda County listed in the BOE.

	Ratio of ZTRAX to State Board of Equalization Data					
	Non-missing	Total Assessed	Avg. Assessed	Total Taxes	Avg. Tax	
County	Parcels	Value	Value	Paid	Rate*	
ALAMEDA	0.988	0.998	1.01	1.1	1.118	
AMADOR	1.009	1.014	1.005	0.72	0.735	
BUTTE	0.982	1.007	1.026	0.771	0.783	
COLUSA	1.006	1.001	0.995	0.628	0.868	
CONTRA COSTA	1.01	1.026	1.016	1.154	1.123	
EL DORADO	1.032	1.005	0.974	1.066	1.062	
FRESNO	1.031	1.004	0.974	0.894	0.944	
HUMBOLDT	0.906	1.004	1.107	0.693	0.701	
IMPERIAL	1.144	1.011	0.883	0.58	0.577	
INYO	0.76	0.917	1.207	0.819	0.921	
KERN	0.949	1.004	1.058	0.911	0.895	
KINGS	0.971	1.029	1.06	0.903	0.931	
LASSEN	1.016	0.997	0.981	0.939	1.012	
LOS ANGELES	1.009	0.931	0.923	1.046	1.128	
MARIN	0.966	0.994	1.028	1.209	1.208	
MARIPOSA	15.378	0.957	0.062	0.932	1.003	
MERCED	0.997	0.976	0.98	0.954	1.013	
MODOC	1.007	1.046	1.04	1.392	1.446	
MONO	1.019	1.007	0.988	0.942	0.958	
MONTEREY	0.966	0.986	1.021	0.736	0.749	
NAPA	0.968	1.002	1.035	0.836	0.847	
NEVADA	1.01	0.999	0.99	1.11	1.112	
ORANGE	0.946	0.964	1.019	1.052	1.101	
PLACER	1.07	0.998	0.933	1.135	1.139	
RIVERSIDE	1.067	1.02	0.956	1.113	1.105	
SACRAMENTO	0.973	0.991	1.019	1.009	1.005	
SAN BENITO	1.016	1.005	0.989	0.973	1.018	
SAN BERNARDINO	1.018	0.977	0.96	1.024	1.069	
SAN DIEGO	0.986	0.997	1.012	1.042	1.037	
SAN FRANCISCO	0.972	0.956	0.984	0.861	0.894	
SAN JOAQUIN	0.999	1.007	1.008	1.084	1.135	

Table 2: County-Level Alignment between ZTRAX and BOE

	Ratio of ZTRAX to State Board of Equalization Data					
	Non-missing	Total Assessed	Avg. Assessed	Total Taxes	Avg. Tax	
County	Parcels	Value	Value	Paid	Rate*	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	0.963	0.998	1.036	0.962	1.018	
SAN MATEO	0.989	0.988	0.999	1.047	1.083	
SANTA BARBARA	0.938	0.996	1.061	1.003	0.996	
SANTA CLARA	1.05	1.629	1.552	0.992	0.609	
SANTA CRUZ	0.977	0.991	1.014	1.099	1.101	
SHASTA	0.892	1.004	1.125	0.932	0.969	
SIERRA	0.699	0.973	1.391	1.216	1.248	
SISKIYOU	0.844	1.014	1.202	0.551	0.575	
SOLANO	0.947	0.983	1.038	1.069	1.064	
SONOMA	1.022	1.007	0.985	0.742	0.738	
STANISLAUS	0.979	0.996	1.017	1.048	1.061	
SUTTER	1.003	1.003	0.999	1.028	1.087	
TEHAMA	1.026	1.029	1.003	0.937	0.948	
TUOLUMNE	1.006	1.012	1.007	0.751	0.755	
VENTURA	0.965	0.994	1.03	1.024	1.038	
YOLO	0.979	0.982	1.003	1.156	1.191	
YUBA	0.953	0.949	0.996	0.864	0.887	

Source: ZTRAX - ZTA dataset, 2017 and California Board of Equalization public data

*Note: Average Tax Rate is calculated as the aggregate total property taxes paid in a county divided by the total assessed property value in the same county.

While Table 1 shows promising figures at the state level, Table 2 makes clear that, county-to-county, there is significant variance in accuracy. While most counties are clustered around a 1.0 ratio for ZTRAX/BOE, it seems that the accuracy in our state-wide figures may be in part due to some counties offsetting others, including the fact that Santa Clara County is a severe overcount in ZTRAX, while Kern County is a severe undercount.

Transfers

We can see in Table 3 that property transaction figures in ZTRAX align fairly well with those in BOE at the aggregate. However, benchmarking measurements beyond sale volume, such as sale prices, proves to be a complicated task. While property assessment records are uniform in what they measure – assessed value – transaction records do not simply reflect sale prices. Many properties are transferred for prices less than "full consideration" as a result of intra-family transfers, gifts, sales for an agreed upon price that is lower than market value, etc. In order to isolate only those transfers that we believe are market value transactions, we use detailed documentation that the PLACES Lab produced, outlining how to isolate a subset of transfers that reflect true market value transactions, though only at "medium" or "high" confidence (Nolte et al., 2021). We follow their guide, filtering out various types of transfers unlikely to be at full market value, and, in order to balance both accuracy and sample size considerations, we arrive at a sample for which we can have "medium" confidence in it reflecting all true market value transactions. The key steps of our filtering process are as follows:

- Filter down to data with document type codes corresponding to documents associated with market value sales as identified by the PLACES Lab, i.e. "Agreement of Sale," "Administrator's Deed,", "Assumption/Deed Agreement," etc.
- 2. Filter down to data with data class codes that correspond to market value sales. This includes deed transfers and deeds with concurrent mortgages.
- Filter down to data with sales price codes that indicate market value sale, i.e. "Full Consideration," "Price from recorded affidavit," "Sale price computed from transfer tax," etc.
- 4. Drop all sale records with a sales price less than \$1,001.

Table 3: State-Level Alignment between ZTRAX and BOE Transfer Data

Data Set	Total Transfers
ZTRAX	1,281,778
BOE	1,343,543
ZTRAX / BOE	0.954

Source: ZTRAX - ZTT 2015, 2016 and California Board of Equalization public data

County Realtors' Associations

Following our filtering of transfers down to only those we believe reflect market value transactions, we benchmark our final counts for one sample county, San Mateo, with public real estate transaction data, in this case data from The San Mateo County Association of Realtors (2022).

San Mateo County Realtors

The results of this benchmarking exercise are shown in Table 4. We find that the market value transactions that we obtained following the filtering process set forth by the PLACES Lab gets us sale counts and mean sale prices that are generally very similar to the figures published by the San Mateo County Association of Realtors. Given these results, we have further confidence in the filtering process set forth by the PLACES Lab even beyond San Mateo, as we assume that if the data are accurate according to this county's realtors' records, they would be accurate in other counties as well.

	ZTRAX Sale	ZTRAX Sale	SMR Sale	SMR Sale	Sale Count	Sale Mean
Date	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Ratio	Ratio
2017-09	368	1718539	354	1739517	0.988	1.04
2017-08	418	1595575	381	1739015	0.918	1.097
2017-07	385	1884086	358	1891341	0.996	1.075
2017-06	510	1832713	482	1782672	1.028	1.058
2017-05	520	1836049	437	1778136	1.033	1.19
2017-04	411	1770670	348	1876376	0.944	1.181
2017-03	388	1575543	328	1558630	1.011	1.183
2017-02	276	1676875	190	1610361	1.041	1.453
2017-01	196	1586783	189	1452117	1.093	1.037

Table 4: Alignment between ZTRAX and San Mateo County Realtor's Data

Source: ZTRAX - ZTT 2017 and San Mateo County Realtor's Public Data

Citations

- California State Board of Equalization. (2022). *State and County Assessed Property Taxes: Assessed Property Values (Table 5) and Local Roll Value and Statistics* [Data set]. Retrieved from https://www.boe.ca.gov/dataportal/catalog.htm?category=Property%20Taxes.
- Nolte, C., Boyle, K. J., Chaudhry, A. M., Clapp, C. M., Guignet, D., Hennighausen, H., Kushner, I., Liao, Y., Mamun, S., Pollack, A., Richardson, J., Sundquist, S., Swedberg, K., & Uhl, J. H. (2021). Studying the Impacts of Environmental Amenities and Hazards with Nationwide Property Data: Best Data Practices for Interpretable and Reproducible Analyses. No. 2021-013. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3900806</u>.
- San Mateo County Association of Realtors. (2022). *Market Data* [Data set]. Retrieved from <u>https://www.samcar.org/member-resources/market-data/</u>.